



COMMITTEE WORK SESSION JUNE 18, 2012

Committee Members Present: Charlotte Whipkey
Dennis McGlone
Scott Pelot-Excused
Bill Mowery
Todd Bergstrom
Ken Braman
Don Nicolard

Also Present: Mayor Mike Zita
Rick Ryland
John Moss
Karla Richards
Ann Campbell-Excused

The Committee Work Session convened on Monday, June 18, 2012, at 7: 02 PM, in the Council Chambers of the Safety Administration Building. The meeting was called to order by Don Nicolard, President of Council. Following a salute to the flag and the Pledge of Allegiance, there was a moment of silent reflection.

General Topics of Discussion:

Theresa Mullen-Bruner-Cox LLP Presentation on Municipal Income Tax

Ms. Mullen introduced herself and presented her background information (see attached) relating to municipal income taxes. Ms. Mullen stated that Pennsylvania has been working with uniform tax collections for the past several years. We have over 600 + municipalities dealing with municipal tax laws. At the local level you could call two (2) different cites asking the same questions, and you will get two (2) different answers. Rules are different, however there is a 12 day rule when a worker comes into your city, some cities interpret the law differently. There is also issues with companies declaring net operating losses, some communities will allow them to go back five (5) years, some seven (7), years, etc. Ms. Mullen discussed the wide differences with pensions, stock options, etc., it's all across the board with different the communities. Then there are tax credits and estimated tax due dates. For example you could have a construction company, and they can file fifteen (15) different returns, pay \$250.00 or more per return and still have no tax liabilities due. Lastly then there is what is called the Ex-Patriot problem; you can be a non resident of the State, but a resident of the city. There is a common law test in the state, i.e., drivers license, school attendance records, etc., that is used to verify. One thing is that uniformity across the board brings transparency, it's a win-win for the taxpayer and the government.

Theresa Mullen-Bruner-Cox LLP Presentation on Municipal Income Tax Continued:

Ms. Mullen stated that making it a flat uniformity across the board is really the way to go. Ms. Whipkey asked if the tax rates and rules would change, and Ms. Mullen clarified that the rates were up to the communities, just the rules would be uniform. Ms. Whipkey asked if we are more or less forced into this and will we pay CCA the \$100,000.00 for processing? Ms. Mullen could not specifically answer that, but noted you would lose your control. Ms. Mullen stated she believes CCA is not full service to the City of Norton, and that they just audit the returns. Ms. Whipkey stated if that is all CCA is doing for us we certainly paying dearly for their services. Ms. Mullen stated the tax rates will not change, just more definitions and how taxes are declared. Ms. Mullen gave an example of a landscaper in Norton doing work at fifteen (15) different houses/businesses in Norton, they probably are not paying taxes. Mr. Moss asked if any other cities have the 12-day rule? Ms. Nicolard clarified that the House would create the uniformity and Senate and Ms. Mullen replied yes. Ms. Mullen stated the problem she sees is with the Ohio Business Gateway and CCA and this proposal may have to come off of the table. Mr. Nicolard stated he felt this impinges our right as a Charter community and home rule.

Summit County DTAC Match Program

Mr. Nicolard introduced the members from Summit Count DTAC Match Program. Mr. LaMonica presented basic information regarding land banking (see attached). HB 353 was briefly discussed. Mr. LaMonica stated that this is an economic tool to provide communities to recover from foreclosed property. There is a Board of Directors that must be brought into play here. This not a government agency, it is organization with a public purpose. It's our intent to eliminate blighted housing in the various communities. We do not want to be a "land owner" like what is being done in Cuyahoga County; rather this would be more like what is being done in Lucas County. It is believed there are 2600-3500 Summit County properties abandoned or blighted. Properties would be expedited in fast track foreclosure process; properties could be directly transferred to the land bank. Normally this process takes eighteen (18) months would take nine (9) months to accomplish the same goal eliminating the judicial process with a Sheriff sale of said properties. We would use the delinquent tax assessment fund to finance the land bank. Proposed legislation would take the 90%, instead of 95% of that money going back to the municipalities, 5% to the Prosecutor's office and the other 5% to fund the land bank, resulting in an estimated \$1.5 million initial monies to fund the land bank. Ms. Holly Miller-Summit County Economic Development Department explained Summit County is slated to receive 3.8 million dollars from the State of Ohio for the land reutilization program Moving Ohio Forward. The first \$500,000.00 does not require a match and she used the Village of Lakemore as an example. This grant is good for eighteen (18) months, and we still need to have a match of \$1.3 million for the entire eighteen (18) months. The cities will need to determine how much money you want to set aside for this fund. If the City of Norton put in \$20,000.00 from the General Fund, you would be guaranteed to receive \$20,000.00 from the State for a total of \$40,000.00. Moneys cannot be used for mowing, or continued maintenance. Monies can be used for demolition, etc. Demolition occurs through a waiver by the owner or condemnation. You will not own the property; once demolished the owner still owns the property.

Summit County DTAC Match Program Continued:

If it is a \$5,000.00 demo fee, half of that (the City's contribution) can be placed as a lien on that property, and you can bill back staff time and get reimbursed for that as well. There is the required application to the Attorney Generals office, which is due on June 30, 2012, and we ask that you continue to send our office your listing of vacant-abandoned properties. We will need a resolution of matching funds to the land bank program. Will need all of this in our office before June 30, 2012. Ms. Miller stated that their office received this information on May 1, 2012. Mr. McGlone asked when the Mayor or Administration when were they aware of this? Mayor Zita replied he first heard about this at a Mayors Association meeting recently. Mayor Zita stated that last week these same representatives from Summit County came to his office to discuss it in detail. Mr. Bergstrom asked about the fees for demolition and Ms. Miller replied most communities are using \$5,000.00-\$6,000.00. Mr. Bergstrom inquired as to whether the City gets to pick the demolition company. Ms. Miller stated that was possible if the city has the capacity to do it on their own or utilize an RFP that they put out. Ms. Whipkey asked if we already have a land bank program. Mr. LaMonica stated there was a property in the past the where the City has used the land bank process. Ms. Miller clarified that the County is not interested in being a landowner and the City has to come to them, on a foreclosure only, and state what the City has in mind with an end user of the property at that time in order to acquire it. Ms. Whipkey asked how this would affect our current CIC and Land Bank program? Mr. Moss stated the land bank for Norton would be effectively over as we would have to use this process. Mr. Moss stated we spend about \$10,000.00 per home for demolition and we have several needing to be demolished. Mr. Moss replied that you still have the interest in the property and each parcel is required to have the action of Council to proceed. Mr. Moss stated he is not sure how we would acquire the property, but The City of Norton cannot dispose of property without Council approval. Mr. McGlone asked Mr. Ryland if this was a good idea, and Mr. Ryland replied yes. Mr. McGlone moved to place this on Councils agenda, waiving second and third readings with emergency language, seconded by Mr. Bergstrom. Mr. Bergstrom stated the sooner we have these documents the better for Council. Ms. Whipkey asked about the match fund amount and Mr. Ryland stated the 2012 Budget already has a set amount for demolition, you can either go with that figure or increase it. Mr. Bergstrom asked for a listing of what we have already spent in the past year. Mr. Bergstrom suggested leaving a blank for the dollar amount figure until Monday and then we will decide what is appropriate.

Roll Call: Yeas: McGlone, Bergstrom, Braman, Nicolard
Nays: Whipkey, Mowery

Motion passed 4-2.

Presentation by Senator Kevin Coughlin's on Green Energy & the Solar Planet Company

Mr. Coughlin introduced himself as Consultant, and Mr. David Dwyer, Chief Engineer of Solar Planet to explain the details of what Solar Planet is doing. Solar Planet is located in Dublin Ohio, and owned by Mr. Charlie Shin of Charley's Subs.

Presentation by Senator Kevin Coughlin's on Green Energy & the Solar Planet Company

Solar Planet does large scale solar projects, mostly in communities and public entities, with no capital expenditures involved. Senator Coughlin stated that a purchase power agreement is normally set for twenty-five (25) years. The customer purchases the power off of the panels at a reduced rate. On a good and sunny day they are running on sun only. On cloudy days they will run off the meters. Senator Coughlin stated that when discussing these projects, there are three (3) typical questions: The first question-Is Ohio the place for solar energy? Senator Coughlin stated that Solar Planet is the biggest producer in Ontario, Germany, and New Jersey, which has less sun than Ohio. The second question is where is our return to the taxpayers? The answer is that there is no return because you are paying less for the usage per kilowatt-hour. The third issue question -is this is a subsidized industry, or why is the government giving subsidies for these solar companies? The answer is that the government is already heavily subsidizing electric plants, coal plants, etc. Solar power is subsidized up front with an income tax credit. Mr. Dave Dwyer, designer and developer for Solar Planet gave more background information. Mr. Braman asked if ambient temperature has any effect on the ability to transmit electricity and Mr. Dwyer replied yes, on cooler days its less and on warmer days it is more, but it is not significant. Mr. Bergstrom asked about the long-term contract and what happens when the contract is over. Mr. Dwyer stated that over the length of the contract your projected savings is 25-50%. You are locking in at a low price for low electricity for the next twenty-five (25) years. Your price is set; we will not be charging any fees, assessments, etc. Mr. Ryland stated he understands the installation costs is fully paid by Solar Planet, but what about the maintenance? Mr. Dwyer replied we pay for both. There was discussion about the roof racks versus ground, and Mr. Dwyer stated they prefer to use the ground racks, this way there would be no potential roof damage. Mr. Bergstrom asked how much land would we need for the Administration Building for a ground mount? Mr. Dwyer replied about 1.5 acres and we would like the are to be within 1,000 feet of your building. Mr. Ryland asked if the solar field produces a surplus of the kilowatts used, and do we sell that back to the electric company? Mr. Dwyer stated that FirstEnergy has several options, you could store them as a credit to the meter, or they can carry over. There is a carryover of over production from month to month. Mr. Bergstrom asked how long Solar Planet has been in business, and Mr. Dwyer replied two (2) years. We spent all of 2010 and part of 2011 getting a solid financial plan in place. We know our business plan works and we already have our money in the bank. He has been in the industry and installing systems for a very long time and the warranties are iron clad. Mr. Whipkey asked what happens when technology advances within this 25 years? Mr. Dwyer stated we calculate the use of the city for one (1) year and we properly size the production of our system to that data. If there were significant changes we would upgrade the panels. Ms. Whipkey asked about the life expectancy is this the twenty-five (25) year range? Mr. Dwyer stated the warranty is for twenty-five (25) years and the life expectancy is longer. At the end of twenty-five (25) years you have options to either buy the system at fair market value, ask us to remove it or upgrade it for another agreement. After ten (10) years you do have the right to buy this outright. Mr. Bergstrom asked where is the closest location of Solar Planets' customer and Mr. Dwyer replied it's in Centerville, Ohio. Mr. Bergstrom asked about the engineering, specifically what firm do they use?

Mr. Dwyer replied our engineers are Korda-Nemeth, and the SME Electric is our installer. Mr. McGlone asked for contact numbers of current customers and Mr. Dwyer stated they would be happy to furnish that information, or better yet come out and see it in person. Mr. Nicolard noted there is no action required this evening. Mr. Bergstrom suggested Mr. Moss contact Centerville and report back to us. Mr. Nicolard stated this would appear on the next Work Session agenda.

OPBA Collective Bargaining Contracts

Mr. Nicolard turned these discussions over to Mr. Moss for the details. Mr. Moss stated we have two (2) contracts, one (1) for the Police Dept. and one (1) for the dispatchers, part-timers are not included. Mr. Moss stated a 0% raise was negotiated for 2012 and something new in the Police Dept. contract is the Cooper Test for fitness and agility as a bonus program. Ms. Whipkey stated she understood the Cooper Test is based on how well they do and up to \$20.00 could be added to their biweekly paychecks. Ms. Whipkey asked if this Cooper Test was in lieu of a raise? Mr. Ryland stated it could be up to \$800.00 maximum. Mr. Ryland stated this goes in effect this year and would continue each year in addition to raises in the future. Mr. Nicolard stated that Cooper Test does not include part time officers and Mr. Ryland concurred with Mr. Nicolard. Ms. Whipkey inquired on the dispatchers and if they were separated from the Police as they would be working in the new Fire Station, and it would remove any conflict? Mr. Ryland concurred. Mr. Nicolard moved to place both OPBA contracts on Councils next agenda with emergency language, seconded by Mr. Braman. Ms. Whipkey stated she did not agree with the emergency language and Mr. Ryland replied that emergency language is not necessary, but he would prefer we do this quickly since we have been working in this since last October.

Roll Call: Yeas: Nicolard, Braman, McGlone, Bergstrom
Nays: Whipkey, Mowery.

Motion passed 4-2

P.C. Resolution #15-2012 Rezoning

Mr. McGlone stated that last week the Planning Commission made the recommendation to rezone property at 4331 Cleveland-Massillon Road from R1 to B3. Mr. Ryland stated this was the old wood working shop at the corner of Trotter and Cleveland-Massillon Roads. Mr. Ryland stated this property is already non conforming. Mr. Ryland stated that the Planning Commission recommended a B-3 district, which makes no sense since the parcels behind this are already zoned industrial. Mr. Bergstrom asked about the comprehensive plan and what would fit. Mr. Ryland stated that would become a business district or light industrial. Mr. Mowery stated the previous owner created quite a noise and dust nuisance. Mr. Mowery asked if he even has a use for this and Mr. Moss replied no. Mr. Bergstrom liked the idea to rezone but would like to see a better description on the B-3 district use. Mr. Spisak, 4051 Brookside Court, Norton, Ohio and Chairman to the Planning Commission stated that there was a lot of discussion about the property. Mr. Spisak stated that the Applicant wanted B3 and the asked to consider a B-2 and he declined. Mr. Spisak stated his personal preference is for this area to be rezoned for B-2.

P.C. Resolution #15-2012 Rezoning

Mr. Mowery recalled the rezoning of the Miller property at the corner of Webber and Cleveland-Massillon Road. Mr. Spisak corrected Mr. Mowery's comments adding that Council never approved the Miller zoning. Mr. McGlone preferred to send this resolution back to the Planning Commission for reconsideration and maybe better educate the applicant. Mr. Bergstrom stated he wanted it to be zoned properly and create the best advantage for a business owner to come into. Mr. Ryland believed that Mr. McGlone's preference to send this back is the proper action to take on this. Mr. McGlone moved to send PC Resolution #15-2012 back to the Planning Commission, seconded by Mr. Bergstrom.

Roll Call: Yeas: McGlone, Bergstrom, Whipkey, Mowery, Braman, Nicolard
Nays: None

Motion passed 6-0.

Wooster Road Sanitary Sewers

Mr. Braman asked Mr. Ryland if we ever did anything with the lateral lines out there? Mr. Ryland replied no. Mr. Braman stated that it would be an assessed project, and Mr. Ryland concurred. Mr. Braman stated at this time there are no assessments in the area that he is aware of and Mr. Ryland concurred. Mr. Braman asked how long before we have any information on the laterals and how far do we take it? Mr. Ryland stated he would take it from Richland Avenue all the way to the front of J.R. Wheel. Mr. Braman inquired if it would be cheaper for the homeowner to pay for the lateral than going down 20 feet to bore under the road? Mr. Ryland replied he believed it would be. Mr. Nicolard asked if this project would stop the process for the residents already ordered to connect? Mr. Ryland replied no, those ordered to connect are on the other side as the sewer is currently on the south side. This connection would be mostly for businesses. It was Council's decision for the Administration to continue exploring the details and costs involved for this connection. There was discussion about sanitary sewer tap in fees and offering discounts like we did with other neighborhoods. Mr. Braman suggested a flat fee of \$500.00 for sanitary sewer tap in fees for existing residential properties for six (6) months only. That would save the residents about \$2,000.00 in our fees. Mayor Zita questioned how do you address those residents that connected recently and paid full price? Mr. Braman stated it would be difficult to go retroactive with this if any had tied in and that is unknown at this time. There was discussion about what Barberton might do with their fees, and Ms. Whipkey asked if Summit County is involved? Mr. Ryland replied that Summit County is not involved. Mr. Bergstrom asked how many properties would be involved and Mr. Ryland replied about a dozen. Mr. Braman asked how many have connected recently and Mr. Ryland replied he was not sure. Ms. Whipkey clarified the proposed line was for commercial and not residential and Mr. Ryland replied yes, most of the North side of the road is business, but could be one residential. Ms. Whipkey asked how many residents have orders to tie in, and Mr. Ryland replied he believed there are three homes there.

Wooster Road Sanitary Sewers Continued:

Mr. Braman moved to prepare an ordinance to set a flat fee for sanitary sewer tap ins for six (6) months with an additional six (6) months to complete the project once the fee is paid, second by Mr. Bergstrom. Mr. Nicolard asked what was the tap in fees for Oak Street that we waived and Mr. Ryland indicated he was not sure of the exact amount. Mr. Braman stated about five (5) or six (6) years ago, we froze these fees and there was an incremental increase that has never took effect. Ms. Whipkey asked why we have three (3) residents that are forced to connect here, will there be more? Mr. Ryland replied you would have to ask Summit County that question. Mr. Mowery and Mr. Ryland discussed the depths and the number of laterals needed for this area.

Roll Call: Yeas: Braman, Bergstrom, McGlone, Whipkey, Nicolard
Nays: Mowery

Motion passed 5-1.

Unfinished Business:

None

New Business:

Mr. Bergstrom discussed the sanitary sewers issue and that we should be moving forward with a rate study, a financial plan, the costs of owning and maintaining sewers. We need to dedicate a separate Committee Meeting or Work Session and this should be the only item on the agenda. We need to have this information before we can come to a vote on this. We need to look at our overall budget and determine what is not a priority. Our purpose is to gather information and give the Administration the marching orders to move forward. Mr. Nicolard agreed with Mr. Bergstrom on this issue, adding that no one on Council is prepared to vote on this issue, it needed more study Mr. Nicolard asked Mr. Bergstrom if he has ideas for a date? Mr. Bergstrom suggested the July 2, 2012 Committee meeting. Mr. Nicolard stated we already have MAD on the agenda.

New Business Continued:

Mrs. Richards noted there are additions to the January 23, 2012 Council meeting that will need to be re-addressed. Specifically information needs added to page #6 between Ord #2-2012 and Unfinished Business (see attached items added in bold-italics) The amended set of minutes would be listed on next week's agenda for reconsideration and approval.

Public Comment-Agenda and Non Agenda Items:

Gary Johnston, 4177 Alaska Avenue, Norton, Ohio, thanked for Council for the opportunity to speak, and thanked Mr. Mowery, Ms. Whipkey, and Mr. McGlone for the town hall meeting. Mr. Johnston also noted the absence at the town meeting of Mayor, Zita, Mr. Nicolard and Mr. Bergstrom. Mr. Johnston stated it was it was some of these members including Mrs. Hlas that put forth the legislation a few years ago to bring this about. Back then we gathered over 750 signatures to put this to a vote of the citizens. While collecting these signatures, one resident disagreed with this concept, stating that the residents will vote with their pocketbooks.

Public Comment-Agenda and Non Agenda Items Continued:

Mr. Johnston stated that the residents were not allowed to vote on legislation that will cost us thousands of dollars over a long period of time. Mr. Johnston suggested using the tax dollars you are already collecting.

Gayle Brenner, 4041 Harper Avenue, Norton, Ohio, spoke about all of the flags on Sat. on Cleveland-Massillon Road and Greenwich Road, and noted there was not as many people that came out. Ms. Brenner read a personal thank you to Mayor Zita, Mr. Ryland, Mr. Nicolard, Falls Flag and Banner, Barberton tree for their bucket truck. Thanked Council members that did attend, Congresswoman Sutton, and all Vets for attending (see attached). Mr. Nicolard thanked Ms. Brenner for all of her hard work; it was a job well done.

Tom Kornas, 3771 Brookside Drive, Norton, Ohio, thanked Mr. McGlone, Ms. Whipkey and Mr. Mowery for attending the community meeting. If this were a corporation you would have been fired for not attending. We all have questions and you were not there to address them, all we saw were empty chairs. Don't you care; you have a job to do. Just come out and tell people if you care or not that is all we want. When I make my money I like to keep it in my pocket. You're making a lot of people angry here, you should show up and face the public. You chose to stay home and take the coward's way out.

Karen Harley, 3432 Harper Avenue, Norton Ohio, stated she is very disgusted with the economy. Why is it that these Council members think these residents can foot the bill for these sewers? There are so many senior citizens cannot pay that \$30,000.00 estimated that has been bantered about. Ms. Harley stated that she doesn't have an extra \$2,000.00 to yank out of her back pocket. There is no one in this room that can afford to pay this sewer that you all think we need. Ms. Harley discussed the 10-12% failure rate that Summit County claimed we have, let's fix those that failed. Ms. Harley discussed concept that these new sewers will bring in business to the City. There will not be any businesses in the neighborhood that she lives in because it's residential.

Audrey Kornacki, 3008 Dutt Road, Norton, Ohio stated that she had lived the city life and didn't like it and moved to Norton 25 years ago because she wanted a country life. If she wanted sewer and water she would have stayed in a city. Her pocket book is pretty tight, some residents cannot afford this and they have to made decisions on whether to get their medication or pay bills. Ms. Kornacki complained that we didn't ask for this, and no one asked us. If you don't have the sense to think of this will affect the residents, you are mistaken. We will vote again and will vote you out. We are saying no, but when are you going to say no? Pay attention to what the people want to say.

Emil Teichman, 3477 Clubview Drive, Norton, Ohio, stated that he bought his house in 1985 and put in new tanks, leach beads, inspection tanks. Mr. Teichmen stated he would be 79 on July 12, 2012 and he is on Social Security and has no money for sewers. Mr. Teichmen stated he would take that gavel away from Mr. Nicolard if he came after him.

Public Comment-Agenda and Non Agenda Items Continued

Patricia Reese, 4052 Wadsworth Road, Norton, Ohio, stated Mr. Bergstrom's idea about the work session is a little too late. You have a city here in really bad shape, just look around at all of the homes that are vacant. How can you present this \$60 million dollar sewer project with out looking for a way to finance this first?

Ms. Reese stated we like the city, we live in, and it's a bedroom community. We don't want to be like Wadsworth Crossings; we don't want a Wal-Mart. Ms. Reese stated that she wants to be able to sit out in her back yard, in a quiet community. Some people moved here for that very reason. All I can say to you now is remember November because we all will.

Victor Murdock, 4272 Erma Road, Norton, Ohio, stated he is here today because he got wind of this \$60 million dollar project. Mr. Murdock stated that he is normally not a political person, and that he believes you are all crooked anyway. Mr. Murdock discussed the proposed sewer project and said this is not the plan, so you need to come up with a better plan. Mr. Murdock stated that he would not be voting for those of you who did not show up at that town meeting. He is very disgusted with all of this and will do everything to stop this sewer project and will go door to door. Mr. Murdock stated that Mr. Bergstrom is in his ward, and that Mr. Bergstrom should stick up for the people in his ward. Mr. Murdock stated that the EPA is used to scare people, the EPA has given a recommendation; this is not the same as a mandate.

John Pfeiffer, 3764 Golf Course Drive, Norton, Ohio stated that in the last few weeks he has heard lots of different comments, although he is not sure he would get as excited about this as he planned. Mr. Pfeiffer discussed the \$60 million for this project and he is appalled by that figure. Mr. Pfeiffer stated that he is not sure that the community understands what you are trying to accomplish here with this sewer plan. As for Mr. Bergstrom's suggestion to get Council informed, you need to educate the rest of us. With this enormous amount of money we are looking at for sewers, we are also being asked to support the fire and school levy. Where are we all supposed to come up with that kind of money? Mr. Pfeiffer stated that he was not even sure if these numbers and time frame of three (3) years to pay that back that are floating around are even correct.

Mr. Pfeiffer stated that it's imperative that everyone understands what the property owners are really going through here. You need to come forward and tell us all the details and plans.

Mike Doherty, 3785 Cleveland Massillon Road, Norton, Ohio, asked who's idea for this sewer system? Mr. Doherty stated he just replaced his septic system two (2) years ago. He made \$13,000.00 last year on disability and he cannot afford this. How do you expect the resident's to pay for this? Isn't anyone going to answer us? Mr. Nicolard pointed out that this is communication from the public and we are listening. Mr. Doherty discussed the testing process and that there are some septic systems on Cleveland-Massillon that are leaking. Why are you throwing this bill at me when my septic is fine?

Public Comment-Agenda and Non Agenda Items Continued:

Mrs. Millie Carneal, 3011 S. Hametown Road, Norton, Ohio, would like to know just how stupid do you people thing we are? Ms. Carneal discussed the concrete pad that you paid to Mr. Brogran. Ms. Carneal stated that the concrete pad for Safety Town that we paid \$24,900.00 for would in reality and in the real world it should have cost about \$10,000.00. Mrs. Carneal stated that she knows many people in the concrete business. Ms. Carneal stated that Mr. Ryland, you ok'd that and she would love to have an explanation on just how that happened.

Topics for the next Work Session:

Solar Planet-Green Energy

Adjourn

There being no other business to come before the Committee Work Session, the meeting was adjourned at 9:13 PM.

Don Nicolard, President of Council

****NOTE: THESE MINUTES ARE NOT VERBATIM****

All Committee Meetings will be held at the Norton Safety Administration Building, unless otherwise noted.